

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/063,125	03/22/2002	Lex P. Jansen	S63.2-10399	5949		
490	7590 09/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	RETT & STEINKRAU	WEBB, S.	WEBB, SARAH K			
6109 BLUE C	IRCLE DRIVE			<del></del>		
SUITE 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			3731	3731		

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/063,125	JANSEN ET AL.	N				
		Examiner	Art Unit	<del>//</del>				
		Sarah K Webb	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Period by the Office later than three months after the mailing The part of the provided period for reply will.  The provided period for reply will, by statute The provided period for reply will.  The provided period for reply will, by statute The provided period for reply will.  The provided period for reply will, by statute The provided period for reply will.  The provided period for reply will be provided period for reply will.  The provided period for reply will be provided period for reply will.  The provided period for reply will be provided period for reply will.  The provided period for reply will be provided period for reply will.  The provided period for reply will be provided period for reply will.  The provided period for reply will be provided period for reply will be provided period for reply will.  The provided period for reply will be provided period for r	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status	·							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .						
,	•	action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-25 is/are pending in the app	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-12,16-19,23 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,13-15,20-22,25</u> is/are rejected	d.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•					
Application Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	pnority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ea.					
Attachmen		Δ	· (DTO 442)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F		152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The rejection of claims 1-4,6-8, 13-15, and 20-22 as presented in the prior office action still stands and also applies to claim 25. The stent of Mayer is clearly *expandable*, as the stent is described as resilient (column 3, line 40) and self-expanding (column 4, line 14).

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 7/14/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that prior art does not suggest the use of a tungsten-rhenium alloy in a stent. Mayer suggests the use of both tungsten and rhenium as suitable materials for forming a stent structure, especially because they are radiopaque (column 7, lines 7-9). Evans makes the suggestion that using a tungsten-rhenium alloy in a medical device will provide good radiopacity (column 7, line s 36-43). Since both devices are directed toward providing radiopacity in medical devices, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the teaching of Evans to incorporate a tungsten-rhenium alloy as the radiopaque material in the Mayer device.

Applicant also argues that the product by process limitations should be given patentable weight. The structure of the stent is so broadly claimed here so that the structure does not depend on the recited process of manufacture. The claims only require that the structure is expandable, has a flow passage, and has a sidewall with a plurality of openings. The Mayer stent meets these structural limitations.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,125

Art Unit: 3731

umber: 10/063,125 Page 3

3. As applicant has requested, the publication date of the data on the website <a href="https://www.rhenium.com">www.rhenium.com</a> is provided. The data provided by Rhenium Alloys, Inc. on the website <a href="https://www.rhenium.com">www.rhenium.com</a> was originally incorporated in an article entitled "Rhenium and Molybdenum/Tungsten Based Alloys: An Overview of Database" by Boris Bryskin and Jan C. Carlen, which was published in the book Molybdenum and Molybdenum Alloys, Proceedings of the Symposia Held at the 127<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting and Exhibition of the Minerals, Metals, & Matierals Society in San Antonio, Texas; 16-19 Feb 1998. Book ISBN 0-87339-411-9, 1998. The data sheet provided on the website is simply a summary of the information on tungsten-rhenium alloys that was published in 1998. For example, the elastic modulus of various compositions of the alloy are provided in Table IV of the article.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (703) 605-1176. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhthuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKW 09/14/04

DAVID O. REIP PRIMARY EXAMINER